Nutrient Dynamics and Their Interaction with Phytoplankton Growth (PP) in the Aquatic Areas of Coastal Wetland in Liaohe Delta, China

Shaofeng Pei, Edward Laws, Siyuan Ye

Key Laboratory of Coastal Wetland Biogeosciences Qingdao Institute of Marine Geology, China Geological Survey Louisiana State University









Outline



- 1. Study Area & Problems -
- 2. Questions to study
- 3. How we do?
- 4. Results
- 5. What are the controlling factors?
- 6. Preliminary conclusions





Eutrophication in Liaodong Bay

- Coastal ecosystems are under multiple-stressors from both climate change and human activities
- Eutrophication: nutrients from industrial wastewater, sewage and agricultural fertilizer caused eutrophication in some coastal zones (incl. Liaodong bay)
- Seasonal hypoxia, HABs, species shift, Fish Kills, etc.
- Addressing the eutrophication problems requires an informed assessment of the factors that control algal production



Outline



1. Study Area & Problems

2. Questions to study



- 3. How we do?
- 4. Results
- 5. What are the controlling factors?
- 6. Preliminary conclusions



Questions to study



Assimilation number (AN) = Ability of fixing carbon

Range: 2–14 gC gChl⁻¹ h⁻¹, Max: 25

Photosynthetic rates derived from satellite-based chlorophyll concentration

Michael J. Behrenfeld and Paul G. Falkowski

Oceanographic and Atmospheric Sciences Division, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973-5000

Abstract

AN frequently dominates the accuracy of PP estimation

We assembled a dataset of ¹⁴C-based productivity measurements to understand the critical variables required for accurate assessment of daily depth-integrated phytoplankton carbon fixation (PP_{eu}) from measurements of sea surface pigment concentrations (C_{sat}). From this dataset, we developed a light-dependent, depth-resolved model for carbon fixation (VGPM) that partitions environmental factors affecting primary production into those that influence the relative vertical distribution of primary production (P_z) and those that control the optimal assimilation efficiency of the productivity profile (P^B_{opt}). The VGPM accounted for 79% of the observed variability in P_z and 86% of the variability in PP_{eu} by using measured values of P^B_{opt} . Our results indicate that the accuracy of productivity algorithms in estimating PP_{eu} is dependent primarily upon the ability to accurately represent variability in P^B_{opt} . We developed

Questions to study

1. What is the exact AN of Phytoplankton? And PP?

1 3 叶绿素和初级生产力的计算

叶绿素 a 按照公式: $C_{Ch1a} = \frac{F_a(R_b - R_a)v}{V}$ 进行计算, 式中, C_{Ch1a} 为叶绿素 a 的浓度(mg/m³), F_a 为量程档的系数(mg/m³), R_b 和 R_a 分别为酸化前后的荧光值, v为提取液的体积(ml), V为过滤海水的体积(ml)。

初级生产力采用叶绿素法,按照Cad é 和 Hegem an (1974)提出的简化公式: $P = \frac{P_sED}{2}$ 计算,式中, P 为每日现场的初级生产力(m gC/m² · d), P_s 为表层水中浮游植物的潜在生产力(m gC/m² · h), E 为真光层的深度(m), D 为白昼时间的长短(h)。其中,表层水(1 m 以内)中浮游植物的潜在生产力(P_s)根据表层水中叶绿素 a 的含量计算: $P_s = C_sQ$,式中, C_s 为表层叶绿素 a 的含量(m g/m³), Q 为同化系数(m gC/m g Chl-a · h)。真光层(E) 的深度取透明度的 3 倍(Yukuya 1980)。同化系数(Q)采用 3.7(Ryther 1969)。

2. Ambiguity: what are the controlling factors for AN and PP? Nutrients, Temperature, Light?

Especially, whether nutrients are over-enriched or limited for phytoplankton growth in this area

Outline



- 1. Study Area & Problems
- 2. Questions to study
- 3. How we do?
- 4. Results
- 5. What are the controlling factors?
- 6. Preliminary conclusions



Field Investigation

66 sampling stations: physical and chemical parameters: NO₃-N, NO₂-N, NH₄-N, PO₄-P, SiO₃-Si, S, T, Chl *a*, SPM, Secchi depths, DIC, etc.



In-situ ¹⁴C incubations for AN and PP



Primary Productivity Calculation at 12 Stations



- P_Z (g C m⁻³ h⁻¹) = photosynthetic rate at depth Z;
- A_{POC} , A_{DOC} , and A_{TC} (dpm) = radioactivities of POC, DOC, and TC, respectively;
- DIC = the concentration of DIC (g m⁻³),
- T_{inc} = the duration of the incubation (h), and
- 1.05 corrects for isotope discrimination in favor of ¹²C versus ¹⁴C.
- $(A_{POC}+A_{DOC})_{light}$ and $(A_{POC}+A_{DOC})_{dark}$ = radioactivities of total organic carbon in the light bottle and dark bottle, respectively.

• Assume that the rate of photosynthesis is a hyperbolic function of irradiance:

$$P = \frac{P_M I}{K_I + I}$$

 \boldsymbol{P} (gC m⁻³ h⁻¹) = photosynthesis rate;

 P_M (gC m⁻³ h⁻¹) = light-saturated *P*;

 P_M/Chl (gC g⁻¹ Chl h⁻¹) = assimilation number (P_{opt}^b);

I (mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹) = irradiance at a specific water depth;

 K_I (mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹) = half-saturation constant;

(Falkowski & Raven, 2007; Lalli & Parsons, 1997)



• Assume that *I* decays exponentially in the water column with depth *Z* (m):

$$I = I_0 e^{-(K + K_{Chl}Chl)Z}$$

 I_0 (mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹) = Photosynthetically Active Radiation (PAR) in surface water, K (m⁻¹) = **extinction coefficient** due to everything other than chlorophyll, and K_{Chl} (m² g⁻¹ Chl a) = the **chlorophyll-specific extinction coefficient**.



• Assume that virtually all the light is absorbed in the water column, so that for practical purposes the depth of integration for calculating the areal photosynthetic rate can be assumed to be infinity. Then the **areal photosynthetic rate** (P_D , in gC m⁻² h⁻¹) is:

$$P_{D} = \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{P_{M}I}{K_{I} + I} dz = \frac{P_{M}}{K + K_{Chl}Chl} \ln(1 + I_{0} / K_{I})$$



Outline



- 1. Study Area & Problems
- 2. Questions to study
- 3. How we do?
- 4. Results
- 5. What are the controlling factors?
- 6. Preliminary conclusions



Results of AN (P^{b}_{opt}) & P_{D}

Area	I ₀	P^b_{opt}	$P_D \atop{\text{mg C m}^{-2} d^{-1}}$	Surface Chl <i>a</i> mg m ⁻³	Areal Chl a mg m ⁻²
Bay: (Coastal)	29.15	9.13±2.17	475.99	6.35±3.36	14.45±14.87
Bay: (Estuarine)	29.15	3.14±0.81	19.47	4.80±3.56	0.96±0.71
Louisiana Coast	26.47	6.6±0.3	1050	3.0±1.4	47.6±4.5
BATS	29.52	5.16	462.04	0.11±0.09	21±10
HOT (Sta. ALOHA)	34.49	6.88	530	0.088±0.013	23.0±2.2
Peru Coast	33.32	3.9-4.7	3580	2.55	111

- Significant difference in P^{b}_{opt} and P_{D} between coastal and estuarine stations;
- P^{b}_{opt} and P_{D} in coastal zone is much higher than estuary;
- What are the controlling factors for the differences in P^{b}_{opt}, P_{D} & biomass?

Outline



- 1. Study Area & Problems
- 2. Questions to study
- 3. How we do?
- 4. Results
- 5. What are controlling factors?
- 6. Preliminary conclusions





Nutrient Enrichment Experiments

LI.V | LI.L V | LI.T V | LI.V V | LI.V V | LL.V V | LL.L V =



Whether nutrients are limiting factor?



Preliminary conclusions: Nutrients are replete, probably not the key limiting factor for algal growth

Relationships between S and concentrations of $NO_2 + NO_3$, P, and Si, and between S and T at the 66 stations in Liaodong Bay



Nutrient Pollutions

Reason: Liaodong
Bay receives
excessive nutrients
discharged from
several large rivers
surrounding it;



Data from Wang, 2006, & Environmental Quality Bulletin of the Coastal Waters of China

Nutrient Pollutions in Liaodong Bay



More studies are required about its effects in the future





L (=Light absorption)

• The <u>rate</u> of absorption of light by phytoplankton as a function of depth is:

$$K_{Chl}Chl \cdot I = K_{Chl}Chl \cdot I_0 e^{-(K+K_{Chl}Chl)Z}$$

• The <u>amount</u> of light absorbed by phytoplankton (*L*, in mol quanta m⁻² d⁻¹) in the water column is therefore:

$$L = \int_{0}^{\infty} K_{Chl} Chl \cdot I_{0} e^{-(K + K_{Chl}Chl)Z} = \frac{K_{Chl}Chl \cdot I_{0}}{K + K_{Chl}Chl}$$

F & R

• The **fraction** of light absorbed by the phytoplankton in the water column (in %) can be calculated to be:

$$F = \frac{L}{I_0} = \frac{K_{Chl}Chl}{K + K_{Chl}Chl}$$

• The ratio of light absorbed to photosynthesis (R = Photons/C, in mol quanta mol⁻¹ C) can be calculated by dividing *L* by P_D :

$$R = \frac{L}{P_D} = \frac{K_{ChI}ChI \cdot I_0}{P_M \ln(1 + I_0 / K_I)} = \frac{K_{ChI} \cdot I_0}{P_{opt}^b \ln(1 + I_0 / K_I)}$$

Results of *F* & *R* (=Photons/C)

Area	P^b_{opt}	$\underset{\mathrm{mg } \mathrm{C} \mathrm{m}^{-2} \mathrm{d}^{-1}}{P_{D}}$	Surface Chl a mg m ⁻³	Areal Chl <i>a</i> mg m ⁻²	F	R
Bay: Coastal	9.13±2.17	475.99	6.35	14.45	4.4%	13.6
Bay: Estuarine	3.14±0.81	19.47	4.80	0.96	0.29%	37.3
Louisiana Coast	6.6±0.3	1050	3.0	47.6	10.8%	??
BATS	5.16	462.04	0.11	21	6.4%	??
НОТ	6.88	530	0.088	23.0	4.3%	??
Peru Coast	3.9-4.7	3580	2.55	111	34%	??

F: Phytoplankton at coastal stations absorbed more light energy, because most of light energy at estuarine stations was scattered;

R: Why are the *R* ratios at coastal stations lower than estuarine stations?

- **(1)** What is the minimum quantum requirement for fixing one carbon?
- ② What about the *R* ratios compared with Louisiana Coast, open ocean, HOT, BATs? What does that mean?

Photosynthesis





Thus, the overall photosynthetic process can be represented by

 $CO_2 + 2H_2O \xrightarrow{\sim 8hv} (CH_2O) + H_2O + O_2$

Light Energy Used to Fix Carbon

Minimum requirement for fixation of one carbon is **8 quanta**. However, for a number of reasons, that limit is very unlikely to be reached.

Energy received by PSII can be:

- 1. Used to fix carbon
- 2. Dissipated as heat
- 3. Fluoresced



Results of *F* & *R*

Area	$P^b_{opt \ \mathrm{gC \ gChl^{-1} \ h^{-1}}}$	$P_D \atop {\rm mg \ C \ m^{-2} \ d^{-1}}$	Surface Chl <i>a</i> mg m ⁻³	Areal Chl a mg m ⁻²	F	R
Bay: Coastal	9.13±2.17	475.99	6.35	14.45	4.4%	13.6
Bay: Estuarine	3.14±0.81	19.47	4.80	0.96	0.29%	37.3
Louisiana Coast	6.6±0.3	1050	3.0	47.6	10.8%	32.67
BATS	5.16	462.04	0.11	21	6.4%	49.07
НОТ	6.88	530	0.088	23.0	4.3%	33.59
Peru Coast	3.9-4.7	3580	2.55	111	34%	37.98

- **Coastal:** Relatively high P^{b}_{opt} values and low *R* ratios suggest the highly efficient usage of absorbed light by phytoplankton under replete nutrient levels and favorable temperatures;
- Estuarine: Low P^{b}_{opt} values and high *R* ratios suggest rather extreme light limitation and lowly efficient usage of absorbed light in photosynthesis;
- More or less nutrient or light limitation in other areas, especially, open sea

Effect of Temperature



Fig. 3.10 Measured (•; ± SD) and modeled median value (solid curve) of the photoadaptive parameter, P^{B}_{opt} , as a function of sea-surface temperature. Dashed curve indicates the theoretical maximum specific growth rate (μ ; d⁻¹) of phytoplankton described by Eppley (1972), which is used in a variety of productivity models. (After Behrenfeld & Falkowski 1997a.)

(Miller & Wheeler, 2012)

Falkowski and Raven [1997] reported that increasing temperatures can stimulate photosynthesis through a direct effect on Calvin cycle enzymatic activity up to an optimal temperature after which rates in photosynthesis can decline due to inactivation and denaturation of enzymes [Raven and Geider, 1988].

Light-conditioned *P^b*_{opt} vs Temperature



Patchiness of phytoplankton & PP

$$\frac{P_{opt}^{b}}{(I_{ave})^{0.3}} = A e^{(BT-CT^{2})}$$



Mean AN: 7.63 ± 2.42 Median AN: 7.74

(g C g⁻¹ Chl *a* h⁻¹)



- **Two large patches** of high biomass and production with dimensions on the order of 10 km reflect the effects of water temperature and variation of light penetration restricted by water turbidity.
- **Shaofeng Pei**, et al. (2017). "**Patchiness of phytoplankton and primary production in Liaodong Bay, China**." *PLoS ONE* **12**(2): e0173067.
- **Shaofeng Pei**, et al. (2018). "**Study on chemical hydrography, chlorophyll-***a* and **primary productivity in Liaodong Bay, China**." *Estuarine, Coastal and Shelf Science*.

Outline



- 1. Study Area & Problems
- 2. Questions to study
- 3. How we do?
- 4. Results
- 5. What are controlling factors?
- 6. Preliminary conclusions





Preliminary Conclusions

- Areal production in Liaodong Bay appears to be controlled by a combination of temperature and light, probably not nutrients.
- **Two large patches** of high biomass and production with dimensions on the order of 10 km reflect the effects of water temperature and variation of light penetration restricted by water turbidity.
- Light-conditioned P^{b}_{opt} values were modeled as a function of the temperature with a satisfactory fit to our field data ($R^{2} = 0.60, p = 0.003$).
- The ratios of light absorbed to photosynthesis can help us estimate/understand the efficiency of usage of absorbed light by phytoplankton under various environments



Thanks.

